

# Williams–Beuren syndrome in diverse populations

Paul Kruszka<sup>1</sup>  | Antonio R. Porras<sup>2</sup> | Deise Helena de Souza<sup>3</sup> |  
 Angélica Moresco<sup>4</sup> | Victoria Huckstadt<sup>4</sup> | Ashleigh D. Gill<sup>1</sup> | Alec P. Boyle<sup>2</sup> |  
 Tommy Hu<sup>1</sup> | Yonit A. Addissie<sup>1</sup> | Gary T. K. Mok<sup>5</sup> | Cedrik Tekendo-Ngongang<sup>6</sup> |  
 Karen Fieggen<sup>6</sup> | Eloise J. Prijoles<sup>7</sup> | Pranoot Tanpaiboon<sup>8</sup> | Engela Honey<sup>9</sup> |  
 Luk Ho-Ming<sup>10</sup>  | Ivan F. M. Lo<sup>10</sup> | Meow-Keong Thong<sup>11</sup> |  
 Premala Muthukumarasamy<sup>11</sup> | Kelly L. Jones<sup>12</sup> | Khadija Belhassan<sup>1,13</sup> |  
 Karim Ouldin<sup>13</sup> | Ihssane El Bouchikhi<sup>13,14</sup> | Laila Bouguenouch<sup>13</sup> |  
 Anju Shukla<sup>15</sup>  | Katta M. Girisha<sup>15</sup>  | Nirmala D. Sirisena<sup>16</sup> |  
 Vajira H. W. Dissanayake<sup>16</sup> | C. Sampath Paththinige<sup>16</sup> | Rupesh Mishra<sup>16</sup> |  
 Monisha S. Kisling<sup>8</sup> | Carlos R. Ferreira<sup>8</sup>  | María Beatriz de Herreros<sup>17</sup> |  
 Ni-Chung Lee<sup>18</sup> | Saumya S. Jamuar<sup>19</sup> | Angeline Lai<sup>19</sup> | Tan Ee Shien<sup>19</sup> |  
 Jiin Ying Lim<sup>19</sup> | Cham Breana Wen-Min<sup>19</sup> | Neerja Gupta<sup>20</sup> |  
 Stephanie Lotz-Esquivel<sup>21</sup> | Ramsés Badilla-Porras<sup>22</sup> | Dalia Farouk Hussen<sup>23</sup> |  
 Mona O. El Ruby<sup>24</sup> | Engy A. Ashaat<sup>24</sup> | Siddaramappa J. Patil<sup>25</sup>  |  
 Leah Dowsett<sup>26</sup> | Alison Eaton<sup>27</sup> | A. Micheil Innes<sup>27</sup> | Vorasuk Shotelersuk<sup>28</sup> |  
 Èben Badoe<sup>29</sup> | Ambroise Wonkam<sup>6</sup> | María Gabriela Obregon<sup>4</sup> |  
 Brian H. Y. Chung<sup>5</sup> | Milana Trubnykova<sup>30</sup> | Jorge La Serna<sup>30</sup> |  
 Bertha Elena Gallardo Jugo<sup>30</sup> | Miguel Chávez Pastor<sup>30</sup> |  
 Hugo Hernán Abarca Barriga<sup>30</sup>  | Andre Megarbane<sup>31</sup> | Beth A. Kozel<sup>32</sup> |  
 Mieke M. van Haelst<sup>33</sup> | Roger E. Stevenson<sup>7</sup>  | Marshall Summar<sup>8</sup> |  
 Adeyemo A. Adebowale<sup>34</sup> | Colleen A. Morris<sup>35</sup> | Danilo Moretti-Ferreira<sup>3</sup> |  
 Marius George Linguraru<sup>2</sup> | Maximilian Muenke<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Medical Genetics Branch, National Human Genome Research Institute, The National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland<sup>2</sup>Sheikh Zayed Institute for Pediatric Surgical Innovation, Children's National Health System, Washington, District of Columbia<sup>3</sup>Department of Genetics, Institute of Biosciences, Sao Paulo State University – UNESP, São Paulo, Brazil<sup>4</sup>Servicio de Genética, Hospital de Pediatría Garrahan, Buenos Aires, Argentina<sup>5</sup>Department of Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, LKS Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Hongkong, China<sup>6</sup>Division of Human Genetics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa<sup>7</sup>Greenwood Genetic Center, Greenwood, South Carolina<sup>8</sup>Rare Disease Institute, Children's National Medical Center, Washington, District of Columbia

- <sup>9</sup>Department of Genetics, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
- <sup>10</sup>Clinical Genetic Service, Department of Health, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Hongkong, China
- <sup>11</sup>Department of Paediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- <sup>12</sup>Division of Medical Genetics and Metabolism, Children's Hospital of The King's Daughters, Norfolk, Virginia
- <sup>13</sup>Medical Genetics and Oncogenetics Unit, Hassan II University Hospital, Fez, Morocco
- <sup>14</sup>Laboratory of Microbial Biotechnology, Faculty of Sciences and Techniques, University of Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah, Fez, Morocco
- <sup>15</sup>Department of Medical Genetics, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal University, Manipal, India
- <sup>16</sup>Human Genetics Unit, Faculty of Medicine, University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka
- <sup>17</sup>National Secretariat for the Rights of People with Disabilities (SENADIS), Fernando de la Mora, Paraguay
- <sup>18</sup>Department of Pediatrics and Medical Genetics, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan
- <sup>19</sup>Genetics Service, Department of Paediatrics, KK Women's and Children's Hospital, Singapore, Singapore
- <sup>20</sup>Division of Genetics, Department of Pediatrics, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India
- <sup>21</sup>Research Department, Hospital San Juan de Dios (CCSS), San José, Costa Rica
- <sup>22</sup>Medical Genetics and Metabolism Department, Hospital Nacional de Niños (CCSS), San José, Costa Rica
- <sup>23</sup>Department of Human Cytogenetics, The National Research Centre, Cairo, Egypt
- <sup>24</sup>Clinical Genetics Department, National Research Centre, Cairo, Egypt
- <sup>25</sup>Mazumdar Shaw Medical Center, Narayana Health City, Bangalore, India
- <sup>26</sup>Kapi'olani Medical Center for Women and Children, Honolulu, Hawaii
- <sup>27</sup>Department of Medical Genetics and Alberta Children's Hospital Research Institute, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta
- <sup>28</sup>Center of Excellence for Medical Genetics, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand
- <sup>29</sup>School of Medicine and Dentistry, College of Health Sciences, University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana
- <sup>30</sup>Instituto Nacional de Salud del Niño, Lima, Peru
- <sup>31</sup>Institut Jérôme Lejeune, Paris, France
- <sup>32</sup>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, Department of Pediatrics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri
- <sup>33</sup>Department of Genetics, University Medical Centre, Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands
- <sup>34</sup>Center for Research on Genomics and Global Health, National Human Genome Research Institute, The National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
- <sup>35</sup>Department of Pediatrics (Genetics Division), University of Nevada School of Medicine, Las Vegas, Nevada

#### Correspondence

Paul Kruszka and Maximilian Muenke,  
Medical Genetics Branch, National Human  
Genome Research Institute, The National  
Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892.  
Emails: Paul.kruszka@nih.gov;  
mamuenke@mail.nih.gov

#### Funding information

Division of Intramural Research at the  
National Human Genome Research  
Institute; Government of Abu Dhabi

Williams–Beuren syndrome (WBS) is a common microdeletion syndrome characterized by a 1.5Mb deletion in 7q11.23. The phenotype of WBS has been well described in populations of European descent with not as much attention given to other ethnicities. In this study, individuals with WBS from diverse populations were assessed clinically and by facial analysis technology. Clinical data and images from 137 individuals with WBS were found in 19 countries with an average age of 11 years and female gender of 45%. The most common clinical phenotype elements were periorbital fullness and intellectual disability which were present in greater than 90% of our cohort. Additionally, 75% or greater of all individuals with WBS had malar flattening, long philtrum, wide mouth, and small jaw. Using facial analysis technology, we compared 286 Asian, African, Caucasian, and Latin American individuals with WBS with 286 gender and age matched controls and found that the accuracy to discriminate between WBS and controls was 0.90 when the entire cohort was evaluated concurrently. The test accuracy of the facial recognition technology increased significantly when the cohort was analyzed by specific ethnic population ( $P$ -value < 0.001 for all comparisons), with accuracies for Caucasian, African, Asian, and Latin American groups of 0.92, 0.96, 0.92, and 0.93, respectively. In summary, we present consistent clinical findings from global populations with WBS and demonstrate how facial analysis technology can support clinicians in making accurate WBS diagnoses.

#### KEYWORDS

Africa, Asia, diverse populations, facial analysis technology, Latin America, Middle East, syndrome, Williams, Williams–Beuren

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Williams-Beuren syndrome (WBS) was first characterized as a syndrome with dysmorphic facial features, supravalvar aortic stenosis, and cognitive impairment in the early 1960's (Beuren, Apitz, & Harmjanz, 1962; Williams, Barratt-Boyes, & Lowe, 1961). WBS is one of the common microdeletion syndromes occurring in roughly 1:7500 (Stromme, Bjornstad, & Ramstad, 2002) and caused by a 1.5 Mb deletion in 7q11.23 which includes 26–28 genes. Individuals with WBS present with intellectual disability, hypersocial behavior, distinctive facies, cardiovascular disease (supravalvar aortic stenosis and peripheral pulmonary stenosis), short stature, connective tissue anomalies, and endocrine abnormalities such as hypercalcemia (Morris, 1993, 2010; Sindhar et al., 2016). Facial characteristics include broad forehead, bitemporal narrowing, periorbital fullness, a stellate iris appearance, short nose, malar flattening, long philtrum, thick upper and lower lip vermillion, wide mouth, and large ear lobes (Morris, 1993, 2010).

The diagnosis of WBS is made based on dysmorphic features and intellectual and behavioral findings. Diagnosis is confirmed with molecular testing. Most studies have focused on Caucasians, which can be

explained by a concentration of clinical geneticists in developed countries (Limwongse, 2017) and the absence of genetics services in areas such as sub-Saharan Africa (Tekendo-Ngongang et al., 2014). The American Academy of Pediatrics has outlined clinical diagnostic criteria (Committee on Genetics, 2001), which places emphasis on both facial features and echocardiography; however, these criteria may be difficult to apply to diverse populations such as sub-Saharan patients given the variation in facial features and difficulty obtaining echocardiograms (Tekendo-Ngongang et al., 2014). A few small studies have been conducted in diverse populations. Tekendo-Ngongang et al. presented three individuals with WBS from Cameroon in sub-Saharan Africa and noted that the facial features were not different from many unaffected sub-Saharan African individuals (Tekendo-Ngongang et al., 2014). Additionally, Lumaka et al. reported one case of WBS in a resource limited area of central Africa and these authors remind us that most cases in sub-Saharan Africa are undiagnosed based on insufficient training in the field of dysmorphology and scarcity of genetic resources (Lumaka et al., 2016).

Although we know of at least one comparison of different ethnicities and WBS, where Zitzer-Comfort et al. compared global sociability

**TABLE 1** Summary of clinical exam findings of individuals with Williams-Beuren syndrome from diverse backgrounds

	Present study			p values	Perez Jurado et al. (1996) African American, Asian, Caucasian, Latin American n = 65	Patil et al. (2012) Indian n = 27
	Latin American n = 105	Asian n = 24	African n = 8			
Average age (years)	11.9	8.1	7.7			5.5
Male gender	55%	50%	75%			74%
Molecular diagnosis	100%	96%	75%		94% (56/59)	100%
Cardiovascular disease	73%	71%	88%	.64	50% (24/48) <sup>a</sup>	63% <sup>a</sup>
Wide mouth	91%	78% (18/23)	88%	<.001		100%
Short nose	74%	75%	88%	.71	90% (37/41)	100%
Periorbital fullness	95%	92%	100%	.62	96% (42/44)	100%
Malar flattening	99%	75%	100%	.001	100% (43/43)	85%
Small jaw	82%	75%	75%	.69	na	85%
Long philtrum	93%	79%	88%	.10	83% (35/42)	85%
Epicanthic folds	73%	63%	13%	.001	71% (27/38)	52%
Malocclusion	59% (55/94)	47% (8/17)	38%	.39	81% (25/31)	44%
Widely spaced teeth	47% (35/74)	93% (15/16)	71% (5/7)	.002		41%
Broad eyebrow	63%	58%	63%	.92	67% (22/33) <sup>b</sup>	37%
Stellate iris	85% (82/97)	12% (2/16)	14% (1/7)	<.001		15%
Strabismus	57% (59/104)	6% (1/17)	25%	<.001		11%
Intellectual disability	100% (103/103)	95% (18/19)	100% (7/7)	.05	91% (42/46) <sup>c</sup>	
Growth abnormalities	91% (93/102)	53% (9/17)	25%	<.001	18% (8/44) <sup>d</sup>	

<sup>a</sup>Supraventricular aortic stenosis.

<sup>b</sup>Described as medial eyebrow flare in Perez Jurado et al. (1996).

<sup>c</sup>IQ ≤ 75.

<sup>d</sup>Weight < 3rd centile.

between Japanese and United States individuals with WBS (Zitzer-Comfort, Doyle, Masataka, Korenberg, & Bellugi, 2007), we are unaware of a dysmorphology and diagnostic comparison. In line with other publications on genetic syndromes in diverse populations, we explore the phenotype of WBS in different ancestral populations using both clinical exam and facial analysis technology (Kruszka, Addissie, et al., 2017; Kruszka, Porras, et al., 2017; Kruszka, Porras, Sobering, et al., 2017; Muenke, Adeyemo, & Kruszka, 2016).

## 2 | METHODS

### 2.1 | Review of medical literature

A Medline search was conducted with the following terms: WBS, Africa, Asia, Latin America, Middle East, diverse populations, and facial analysis technology. Reference lists of journal studies were used to find further relevant journal articles. After obtaining journal permissions, photos of individuals with WBS were used to supplement study participants described below (Delgado et al., 2013; Honjo et al., 2015; Jiang & Liu, 2015; Lumaka et al., 2016; Mazumdar, Sarkar, Badveli, & Majumder, 2016; Morris, 1993, 2010; Patil, Madhusudhan, Shah, & Suresh, 2012; Sakhuja, Whyte, Kamath, Martin, & Chitayat, 2015; Smoot, Zhang, Klaiman, Schultz, & Pober, 2005; Tekendo-Ngongang et al., 2014; van Kogelenberg et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2002).

### 2.2 | Patients

Individuals with WBS were evaluated from 19 countries. All participants (Supporting Information Table 1) had WBS diagnosed by both clinical evaluation and/or molecular diagnosis. In a few cases molecular diagnosis was not done secondary to resource limitations. Geographic area of origin or ethnicity (African and African American, Asian, Latin American, and Middle Eastern) was used to categorize patients. Local clinical geneticists examined patients for established clinical features found in WBS (Committee on Genetics, 2001).

Consent was obtained by local institutional review boards and the Personalized Genomics protocol at the National Institutes of Health (11-HG-0093). Exam findings from the current study and those from the medical literature (Patil et al., 2012; Perez Jurado, Peoples, Kaplan, Hamel, & Francke, 1996) are recorded in Table 1.

### 2.3 | Facial analysis technology

As described in our previous studies (Kruszka, Addissie, et al., 2017; Kruszka, Porras, et al., 2017; Kruszka, Porras, Sobering, et al., 2017), digital facial analysis technology (Cerrolaza et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2013; Zhao, Okada, et al., 2014; Zhao, Werghi, et al., 2014) evaluated 286 frontal images of individuals with WBS, and 286 healthy controls (matched for ethnicity, gender, and age) from our previously described database (Zhao et al., 2013; Zhao, Okada, et al., 2014; Zhao, Werghi, et al., 2014). The 286 individuals with WBS used for facial analysis technology included individuals from Supporting Information Table 1 and additional archival images of individuals with WBS. A Caucasian ethnic group was identified in addition to African, Asian, and Latin

**TABLE 2** Population data used in facial analysis technology, which includes 286 individuals with Williams–Beuren syndrome

	Williams–Beuren		Controls	
	Number	%	Number	%
<b>Age</b>				
<30 days	0	0	0	0
1–24 months	49	17	49	17
25–60 months	47	16	47	16
5–12 years	71	25	71	25
13–18 years	28	10	28	10
>18 years	91	32	91	32
<b>Total</b>	<b>286</b>		<b>286</b>	
<b>Ethnicity</b>				
African Descent	28	10	28	10
Asian	26	9	26	9
Caucasian	121	42	121	42
Latino	111	39	111	39
<b>Total</b>	<b>286</b>		<b>286</b>	
<b>Gender</b>				
Male	150	52	150	52
Female	136	48	136	48
<b>Total</b>	<b>286</b>		<b>286</b>	

American groups for the purpose of facial analysis. In Table 2, we show ages, gender, and ethnicity of the facial analysis technology cohort.

With feature extraction, feature selection, and classification as output variables, our algorithms analyzed study participants' images. From a set of 44 landmarks placed on the frontal face images, a total of 126 facial features, including both geometric and texture biomarkers, were isolated. Figure 1 shows the landmark locations and the geometric features extracted. The geometric biomarkers are distances and angles calculated between the different inner facial landmarks. Texture patterns (Cerrolaza et al., 2016) were calculated at each of the 33 inner facial landmarks to quantify texture information (Figure 1). Using the method proposed previously (Cai, Zhang, & He, 2010), from the collection of geometric and texture features, the most significant ones were selected. For each feature set, a support vector machine classifier (Cortes & Vapnik, 1995) was trained using a leave-one-out cross-validation strategy (Elisseeff & Pontil, 2003). The optimal number of features was selected as the minimum number for which the classification accuracy converged to its maximum; Supporting Information Figures 1–5 graphically demonstrate how the addition of features improves the measures of sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy. The *p* value of each feature was also estimated using the Student's *t*-test as an estimator of the individual discriminant power of each feature selected. We evaluated the improvements of using classification models trained specifically for each ethnicity to detect WBS compared to using one single classification model trained using all the cases available from all ethnicities. The statistical significance of their differences was assessed using Fisher's exact test.

## 3 | RESULTS

Clinical information (Table 1) was collected on 137 individuals and images (Figures 2–5; Supporting Information Table 1) on 128 individuals (17 individuals were obtained from the medical literature). The



**FIGURE 1** Facial landmarks on three patients with WBS. Inner facial landmarks are represented in red, while external landmarks are represented in blue. Blue lines indicate the calculated distances. Green circles represent the corners of the calculated angles. Texture features are extracted only from the inner facial landmarks. [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)]

participants were from 19 countries, average age was 11.0 years (range newborn to 42 years), and 45% were females (Table 1). Individuals of African descent are shown in Figure 2, Asian in Figure 3, Latin American in Figure 4, and Middle Eastern patients in Figure 5. Table 1 does not show individuals from Middle East due to insufficient clinical information.

From the medical literature in Table 1, we show facial and other phenotype elements from two studies that each evaluated over 25 participants from diverse backgrounds (Patil et al., 2012; Perez Jurado et al., 1996). We compared unpublished patients from the present study with the above-mentioned studies from the medical literature (Table 1). The most common phenotype element in both the present study and the medical literature was periorbital fullness and intellectual disability which was present in greater than 90% of our cohort (Table 1). In all studies in Table 1, 75% or greater of all individuals with WBS had malar flattening, long philtrum, wide mouth, and small jaw (wide mouth and small jaw not reported in Perez Jurado et al., 1996).

As seen in Table 1, the majority of clinical exam findings in the present study were consistent between the different population groups with the following exam elements differing statistically amongst groups:

wide mouth, malar flattening, epicanthal folds, widely spaced teeth, stellate iris, strabismus, and growth abnormalities ( $p < .05$ ;  $\chi^2$  test).

As a more objective measure of phenotype, facial analysis technology was applied to 286 individuals (Caucasian, African, Asian, and Latin American) with results shown in Table 3. The accuracy to discriminate between WBS and controls was 0.90 when the entire cohort was evaluated concurrently. The test accuracy of the facial recognition technology increased significantly when the cohort was analyzed by specific ethnic population ( $p$  value  $< .001$  for all comparisons), with accuracies for Caucasian, African, Asian, and Latin American groups of 0.92, 0.96, 0.92, and 0.93, respectively (Table 3). Supporting Information Tables 2–6 show the geometric and texture feature comparisons between individuals with WBS and unaffected individuals. Interestingly, the angle at the nose root is the most significant geographic discriminator between WBS and controls across all ethnicities.

## 4 | DISCUSSION

WBS is a common microdeletion syndrome that has recognizable facial characteristics, intellectual disability, a characteristic friendly personality,



**FIGURE 2** Frontal and lateral facial profiles of individuals of African descent with WBS. Gender, age, and country of origin are presented in Supporting Information Table 1. [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)]



**FIGURE 3** Frontal and lateral facial profiles of Asian individuals with WBS. Gender, age, and country of origin are presented in Supporting Information Table 1. [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)]

and often cardiovascular disease. Given the well characterized phenotype of WBS, there is still a paucity of cases of WBS from developing countries in the medical literature (Lumaka et al., 2016; Tekendongang et al., 2014). The first goal of this study was to assemble and characterize a cohort of individuals with WBS from diverse populations.

Table 1 lists the clinical phenotype of 137 individuals from Latin American, Asian, and African ancestry and Figures 2–5 show 128 facial images of individuals from diverse populations. Although there are some statistically significant differences in phenotype elements across population groups, there are multiple well-known characteristics that are present in



**FIGURE 4** Frontal and lateral facial profiles of Latin Americans with WBS. Gender, age, and country of origin are presented in Supporting Information Table 1. [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)]



**FIGURE 5** Frontal and lateral facial profiles of individuals from the Middle East with WBS. Gender, age, and country of origin are presented in Supporting Information Table 1. [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)]

75% or more of all groups, including periorbital fullness, wide mouth, malar flattening, small jaw, long philtrum, and intellectual disability (Table 1). In addition to this study, we have also made a publicly available database that shows images of individuals with WBS and syndromes in diverse populations (<http://www.genome.gov/atlas>) (Koretzky et al., 2016; Muenke et al., 2016).

The second goal of this study was to test whether a diagnosis was more difficult in different ethnicities as has been suggested (Patil et al., 2012; Tekendo-Ngongang et al., 2014). To answer this question, we used the objectivity of facial analysis technology. The facial analysis technology accurately discriminated between individuals with WBS and controls with accuracy above 92% in all population groups (Table 3). The test accuracy of the facial recognition technology increased significantly when the cohort was analyzed by specific ethnic population ( $p$  value < .001 for all comparisons; Fisher's test), in other words, when the computer was trained on an ethnic specific data set, the accuracy improved.

Some of the characteristic features of WBS in the global population determined by facial analysis technology are: wide mouth, short nose, and texture of eyelids/epicanthic folds, which were also noted in the clinical evaluation of most of the cases. We would like to make special mention of the angle of the nose root. As noted in the results, the angle at the nose root is the most significant geographic discriminator between WBS and controls across all ethnicities (Supporting Information Tables 2–6). The angle at the nose root is not typically measured

**TABLE 3** Measures of diagnostic accuracy for facial analysis technology that discriminate between Williams-Beuren syndrome and unaffected individuals, stratified by populations

	Number of features	AUC	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity
Global	17	0.95	0.90	0.92	0.88
Caucasian	15	0.97	0.92	0.89	0.95
African and African American	9	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Asian	8	0.95	0.92	0.96	0.88
Latin American	15	0.97	0.93	0.95	0.92

AUC = area under the receiver operating characteristic curve

by clinicians; however, the angle at the nose root increases for shorter noses, which is a well-known feature in patients with Williams syndrome as seen in Table 1. Interestingly, the only population group for which the width of the mouth was not depicted as a top feature of WBS by our technology was the African group.

The study has several limitations. We acknowledge that ascertainment bias exists with only the most severe phenotypes or those with severe congenital heart disease seeking medical attention. Thus, the milder cases of WBS are most likely missed. Due to relatively small sample sizes, this study grouped populations by large geographical areas. For example, individuals from India, Thailand, and China are grouped into the category "Asia." In the future, we plan to narrow this geographic constraint. Another limitation is that much of the clinical data is subjective and based on provider judgement. We have attempted to address this issue with the use of objective measurements using digital face analysis technology.

We conclude by acknowledging that WBS can be a difficult diagnosis to make (average age of diagnosis of WBS is 3.7–5.3 years in developed countries) (Ferrero et al., 2007; Huang, Sadler, O'Riordan, & Robin, 2002). This study and similar reports (Kruszka, Addissie, et al., 2017; Kruszka, Porras, et al., 2017; Kruszka, Porras, Sobering, et al., 2017) and our recently created website, <http://www.genome.gov/atlas> are designed to have widespread clinical significance for the diagnosis of individuals with WBS, especially in countries without access to genetic services or genetic testing where the simplicity of facial analysis technology may be a useful asset.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the individuals and their families who participated in our study. P.K., Y.A.A, A.D.G., T.H., A.A.A., and M.M. are supported by the Division of Intramural Research at the National Human Genome Research Institute, NIH. Partial funding of this project was from a philanthropic gift from the Government of Abu Dhabi to the Children's National Health System. V.S. is supported by the Chulalongkorn Academic Advancement into its 2nd century project.

## ORCID

Paul Kruszka  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4949-0875>

Luk Ho-Ming  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4066-4066>  
 Anju Shukla  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8347-429X>  
 Katta M. Girisha  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0139-8239>  
 Carlos R. Ferreira  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2697-1046>  
 Siddaramappa J. Patil  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7154-3449>  
 Hugo Hernán Abarca Barriga  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0276-2557>  
 Roger E. Stevenson  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1806-6345>

## REFERENCES

- Beuren, A. J., Aritz, J., & Harmjan, D. (1962). Supravalvular aortic stenosis in association with mental retardation and a certain facial appearance. *Circulation*, 26, 1235–1240.
- Cai, D., Zhang, C., & He, X. (2010). *Unsupervised feature selection for multi-cluster data*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining. ACM, 333–342.
- Cerrolaza, J. J., Porras, A. R., Mansoor, A., Zhao, Q., Summar, M., & Lingurar, M. G. (2016). *Identification of dysmorphic syndromes using landmark-specific local texture descriptors*. Paper presented at the Biomedical Imaging (ISBI), 2016 IEEE 13th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging. IEEE, 1080–1083.
- Committee on Genetics. (2001). American Academy of Pediatrics: Health care supervision for children with Williams syndrome. *Pediatrics*, 107(5), 1192–1204.
- Cortes, C., & Vapnik, V. (1995). Support-vector networks. *Machine Learning*, 20(3), 273–297.
- Delgado, L. M., Gutierrez, M., Augello, B., Fusco, C., Micale, L., Merla, G., & Pastene, E. A. (2013). A 1.3-mb 7q11.23 atypical deletion identified in a cohort of patients with Williams-Beuren syndrome. *Molecular Syndromology*, 4(3), 143–147. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000347167>
- Elisseeff, A., & Pontil, M. (2003). Leave-one-out error and stability of learning algorithms with applications. *NATO Science Series Sub Series, III: Computer and Systems Sciences*, 190, 111–130.
- Ferrero, G. B., Biamino, E., Sorasio, L., Banaudi, E., Peruzzi, L., Forzano, S., ... Silengo, M. C. (2007). Presenting phenotype and clinical evaluation in a cohort of 22 Williams-Beuren syndrome patients. *European Journal of Medical Genetics*, 50(5), 327–337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmg.2007.05.005>
- Honjo, R. S., Dutra, R. L., Furusawa, E. A., Zanardo, E. A., Costa, L. S., Kulikowski, L. D., ... Kim, C. A. (2015). Williams-Beuren syndrome: A clinical study of 55 Brazilian patients and the diagnostic use of MLPA. *BioMed Research International* 2015, 903175. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/903175>
- Huang, L., Sadler, L., O'Riordan, M. A., & Robin, N. H. (2002). Delay in diagnosis of Williams syndrome. *Clinical Pediatrics (Philadelphia)*, 41(4), 257–261. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000992280204100410>
- Jiang, M., & Liu, L. (2015). Williams-Beuren syndrome: A case confirmed by array-CGH method. *Iranian Journal of Pediatrics*, 25(1), e247. <https://doi.org/10.5812/ijp.247>
- Koretzky, M., Bonham, V. L., Berkman, B. E., Kruszka, P., Adeyemo, A., Muenke, M., & Hull, S. C. (2016). Towards a more representative morphology: Clinical and ethical considerations for including diverse populations in diagnostic genetic atlases. *Genetics in Medicine*, 18(11), 1069–1074. <https://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2016.7>
- Kruszka, P., Addissie, Y. A., McGinn, D. E., Porras, A. R., Biggs, E., Share, M., ... Muenke, M. (2017). 22q11.2 deletion syndrome in diverse populations. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*, 173(4), 879–888. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.38199>
- Kruszka, P., Porras, A. R., Addissie, Y. A., Moresco, A., Medrano, S., Mok, G. T. K., ... Muenke, M. (2017). Noonan syndrome in diverse populations. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*, 173(9), 2323–2334. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.38362>
- Kruszka, P., Porras, A. R., Sobering, A. K., Ikolo, F. A., La Qua, S., Shotelersuk, V., ... Muenke, M. (2017). Down syndrome in diverse populations. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*, 173(1), 42–53. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.38043>
- Limwongse, C. (2017). Medical genetic services in a developing country: Lesson from Thailand. *Current Opinion in Pediatrics*, 29(1), 634–639. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MOP.0000000000000544>
- Lumaka, A., Lukoo, R., Mubungu, G., Lumbala, P., Mbayabo, G., Mupuala, A., ... Devriendt, K. (2016). Williams-Beuren syndrome: Pitfalls for diagnosis in limited resources setting. *Clinical Case Reports*, 4(3), 294–297. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ccr3.476>
- Mazumdar, J., Sarkar, R., Badveli, A., & Majumder, B. (2016). Double chamber right ventricle in Williams syndrome: A rare cardiac anomaly reported. *Springerplus*, 5, 275. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-1897-y>
- Morris, C. A. (1993). Williams syndrome. In M. P. Adam, H. H. Ardinger, R. A. Pagon, S. E. Wallace, L. J. H. Bean, H. C. Mefford, K., ... N. Ledbetter (Eds.), *GeneReviews®*. Seattle, WA: University of Washington. Available from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ezproxy.nihlibrary.nih.gov/books/NBK1249/>
- Morris, C. A. (2010). Introduction: Williams syndrome. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part C: Seminars in Medical Genetics*, 154C(2), 203–208. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.c.30266>
- Muenke, M., Adeyemo, A., & Kruszka, P. (2016). An electronic atlas of human malformation syndromes in diverse populations. *Genetics in Medicine*, 18(11), 1085–1087. <https://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2016.3>
- Patil, S. J., Madhusudhan, B. G., Shah, S., & Suresh, P. V. (2012). Facial phenotype at different ages and cardiovascular malformations in children with Williams-Beuren syndrome: A study from India. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*, 158A(7), 1729–734. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.35443>
- Perez Jurado, L. A., Peoples, R., Kaplan, P., Hamel, B. C., & Francke, U. (1996). Molecular definition of the chromosome 7 deletion in Williams syndrome and parent-of-origin effects on growth. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, 59(4), 781–792.
- Sakhujia, P., Whyte, H., Kamath, B., Martin, N., & Chitayat, D. (2015). Williams syndrome presenting with findings consistent with Alagille syndrome. *Clinical Case Reports*, 3(1), 24–28. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ccr3.138>
- Sindhar, S., Lugo, M., Levin, M. D., Danback, J. R., Brink, B. D., Yu, E., ... Kozel, B. A. (2016). Hypercalcemia in patients with Williams-Beuren syndrome. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 178, 254–260 e254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.08.027>
- Smoot, L., Zhang, H., Klaiman, C., Schultz, R., & Pober, B. (2005). Medical overview and genetics of Williams-Beuren syndrome. *Progress in Pediatric Cardiology*, 20(2), 195–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppedcard.2005.04.010>
- Stromme, P., Bjornstad, P. G., & Ramstad, K. (2002). Prevalence estimation of Williams syndrome. *Journal of Child Neurology*, 17(4), 269–271. <https://doi.org/10.1177/088307380201700406>
- Tekendo-Ngongang, C., Dahoun, S., Nguefack, S., Gimelli, S., Sloan-Bena, F., & Wonkam, A. (2014). Challenges in clinical diagnosis of Williams-Beuren syndrome in sub-Saharan Africans: Case reports from Cameroon. *Molecular Syndromology*, 5(6), 287–292. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000369421>
- van Kogelenberg, M., Ghedia, S., McGillivray, G., Bruno, D., Leventer, R., Macdermot, K., ... Robertson, S. P. (2010). Periventricular



- heterotopia in common microdeletion syndromes. *Molecular Syndromology*, 1(1), 35–41. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000274491>
- Williams, J. C., Barratt-Boyes, B. G., & Lowe, J. B. (1961). Supravalvular aortic stenosis. *Circulation*, 24, 1311–1318.
- Wu, Y. Q., Bejjani, B. A., Tsui, L. C., Mandel, A., Osborne, L. R., & Shaffer, L. G. (2002). Refinement of the genomic structure of STX1A and mutation analysis in nondeletion Williams syndrome patients. *American Journal of Medical Genetics*, 109(2), 121–124. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.10321>
- Zhao, Q., Okada, K., Rosenbaum, K., Kehoe, L., Zand, D. J., Sze, R., ... Linguraru, M. G. (2014). Digital facial dysmorphology for genetic screening: Hierarchical constrained local model using ICA. *Medical Image Analysis*, 18(5), 699–710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2014.04.002>
- Zhao, Q., Okada, K., Rosenbaum, K., Zand, D. J., Sze, R., Summar, M., & Linguraru, M. G. (2013). Hierarchical constrained local model using ICA and its application to Down syndrome detection. *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*, 16(Pt 2), 222–229.
- Zhao, Q., Werghi, N., Okada, K., Rosenbaum, K., Summar, M., & Linguraru, M. G. (2014). Ensemble learning for the detection of facial dysmorphology. *Conference Proceedings IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, 2014, 754–757. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EMBC.2014.6943700>
- Zitzer-Comfort, C., Doyle, T., Masataka, N., Korenberg, J., & Bellugi, U. (2007). Nature and nurture: Williams syndrome across cultures. *Developmental Science*, 10(6), 755–762. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2007.00626.x>

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

**How to cite this article:** Kruszka P, Porras AR, de Souza DH, et al. Williams–Beuren syndrome in diverse populations. *Am J Med Genet Part A*. 2018;176A:1128–1136. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.38672>